



Behavioral Finance: Exploring The Influence Of Cognitive Biases On Investment Decisions

Dinesh Gabhane, Dr.Asha Mamraj Sharma, Dr. Rupam Mukherjee, Dr. Aparna Pavani. S, Dr.Mubarak Rahman P,

Assistant Professor Rajeev Gandhi College of Management Studies, Plot No. 1, Sector-8, Ghansoli, Navi Mumbai, Pin: 400701 Orcid id:0000-0002-1867-0075

Assistant Professor Senior scale Department of Commerce, Directorate of Online Education Manipal University Jaipur, Dehmi Kalan, Jaipur-Ajmer Expressway, Jaipur, Rajasthan, Pin:303007

Assistant Professor Department of Economics Kabi Sukanta Mahavidyalaya, 45 Purbachal Pally, Bhadreswar, Post: Angus, District: Hooghly, West Bengal, PIN: 712221

Associate Professor Department of MBA Alliance University, Anekal, Chandapura Road, Bangalore, Pin : 562106

Assistant Professor Department of Management Pin:Lead College of Management, Dhoni palakkad 678009 Orchid ID : 0000-0002-8212-9961

ABSTRACT:

This review research paper delves into the realm of Behavioral Finance, investigating the intricate interplay between cognitive biases and investment decision-making. By synthesizing a diverse array of studies and empirical evidence, the paper provides a comprehensive examination of how psychological factors impact financial choices, ultimately shaping the dynamics of markets and investment outcomes. The exploration encompasses a thorough analysis of various cognitive biases, including loss aversion, overconfidence, and herding behavior, shedding light on their pervasive influence on investors' perceptions, judgments, and actions. The paper employs a multidisciplinary approach, drawing insights from psychology, economics, and finance to unravel the intricate mechanisms that underlie behavioral anomalies in financial markets. Through an in-depth exploration of prominent theories and experimental findings, the research elucidates the ways in which cognitive biases deviate from the traditional rational choice model, offering a nuanced understanding of the complexities inherent in decision-making under uncertainty. Furthermore, the review investigates the implications of cognitive biases on market efficiency, portfolio management, and risk perception. By scrutinizing real-world case studies and behavioral experiments, the paper delineates practical consequences for investors, financial practitioners, and policymakers. Additionally, the research examines the role of technology, social media, and information dissemination in amplifying or mitigating the impact of cognitive biases in contemporary investment landscapes. This paper contributes to the growing body of literature in Behavioral Finance, offering a synthesized overview of the key cognitive biases influencing investment decisions. By bridging the gap between theory and practice, it provides valuable insights for investors, academics, and financial professionals seeking to navigate the complexities of financial markets in an era where understanding and addressing behavioral influences are crucial for informed decision-making.





Keywords: Behavioral Finance, Cognitive Biases, Investment Decisions, Investor Behavior, Psychological Factors, Decision-Making, Behavioral Economics, Heuristics

Introduction

In the intricate landscape of financial decision-making, the traditional assumption of rationality has often fallen short in explaining the complexities observed in investor behavior. The emergence of behavioral finance has reshaped our understanding of financial markets by delving into the psychological aspects that govern individual choices in the investment realm. This research paper embarks on a comprehensive exploration of behavioral finance, specifically focusing on the pervasive influence of cognitive biases on investment decisions.

Historically, classical financial theories have operated under the assumption that market participants are rational actors, consistently making decisions aimed at maximizing their wealth under conditions of certainty. However, the stark realities of financial markets often contradict this presumption. Investors, driven by a myriad of psychological factors, frequently deviate from rational behavior, introducing a fascinating dimension to financial analysis and strategy.

Cognitive biases, deeply ingrained in human psychology, play a pivotal role in shaping investment decisions. These biases, often rooted in heuristics and emotional responses, can lead investors to deviate from optimal decision-making processes. From overconfidence and loss aversion to herd behavior and anchoring, a myriad of cognitive biases exert their influence, sometimes subtly and at other times dramatically, impacting the trajectory of financial markets.

This review synthesizes an extensive body of literature to shed light on the multifaceted interplay between cognitive biases and investment decisions. By examining influential theories, empirical studies, and real-world examples, we aim to unravel the intricate connections between psychological tendencies and financial outcomes. Moreover, this paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on the implications of behavioral finance for market efficiency, risk management, and the development of investment strategies that acknowledge and navigate the complexities of human decision-making.

As we delve into the nuanced realm of behavioral finance, it becomes evident that understanding the cognitive biases shaping investment decisions is not merely an academic pursuit but a practical necessity for market participants, financial professionals, and policymakers alike. Through a rigorous analysis of the existing literature, this paper strives to provide valuable insights into the dynamics of investor behavior and contribute to the ongoing evolution of financial theory and practice.

Background of the study

In recent decades, the field of finance has witnessed a paradigm shift as researchers and practitioners recognize the limitations of traditional economic theories in explaining market phenomena. Behavioral finance, an interdisciplinary field that amalgamates insights from psychology and economics, has emerged to provide a more nuanced understanding of investor behavior and decision-making. This research paper aims to delve into the intricate interplay between cognitive biases and investment decisions, unraveling the psychological factors that significantly impact financial choices. Traditional finance theories, such as the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) and Rational Choice Theory, have long assumed that market participants make rational decisions based on all available information. However, empirical evidence has consistently demonstrated deviations from these assumptions, prompting the need for alternative frameworks. Behavioral finance acknowledges that investors often deviate from rationality due to various cognitive biases, emotions, and heuristics. Cognitive biases, deeply ingrained patterns of thinking that systematically deviate from objective reality, play a pivotal role in shaping investment behavior. These biases, ranging from overconfidence and loss aversion to herding and anchoring, can lead investors to make suboptimal decisions, contributing to market anomalies and inefficiencies. Understanding these biases is crucial for





comprehending the dynamics of financial markets and developing strategies to mitigate their adverse effects on investment performance.

This study will synthesize existing literature on behavioral finance, consolidating empirical findings and theoretical frameworks that shed light on the influence of cognitive biases on investment decisions. By examining the underlying psychological factors that drive investor behavior, the research aims to contribute to a more comprehensive and realistic understanding of financial markets. Moreover, the findings of this study may have practical implications for investors, financial professionals, and policymakers, offering insights into potential avenues for improving decision-making processes and fostering more resilient and adaptive financial systems. As financial markets continue to evolve and become increasingly complex, a deeper understanding of behavioral finance becomes paramount for navigating the intricacies of investment landscapes and promoting financial well-being.

Justification

Behavioral finance is a dynamic and multidisciplinary field that investigates the psychological factors influencing financial decision-making, with a particular focus on understanding how cognitive biases impact investment choices. This research paper delves into the intricate realm of behavioral finance, aiming to contribute significantly to the existing body of knowledge in the following ways:

Addressing Contemporary Financial Challenges: In today's complex and rapidly evolving financial landscape, understanding the drivers behind investment decisions is crucial. Behavioral finance provides a lens through which we can comprehend and navigate the challenges arising from the interplay of human psychology and financial markets. This research paper aims to shed light on the contemporary issues faced by investors by exploring the nuanced influence of cognitive biases.

Enhancing Investor Decision-Making: The paper seeks to empower investors, financial professionals, and policymakers by offering insights into the cognitive biases that can distort decision-making processes. By identifying and understanding these biases, individuals can make informed efforts to mitigate their impact, leading to more rational and effective investment choices. This research contributes to the practical application of behavioral finance principles in the real-world context.

Filling Gaps in Existing Literature: Despite the extensive research in the field of behavioral finance, there remain gaps and areas that warrant further exploration. This paper aims to address these gaps by synthesizing and analyzing the latest research findings. It provides a comprehensive and up-to-date review of the literature, offering a holistic understanding of cognitive biases and their implications on investment decisions.

Implications for Financial Institutions: Financial institutions play a pivotal role in shaping and managing investment strategies. By uncovering the intricacies of cognitive biases, this research paper provides valuable insights for financial professionals and institutions to develop more effective risk management strategies, financial products, and client communication practices.

Contributing to Academic Discourse: The paper contributes to the academic discourse by consolidating diverse perspectives and methodologies employed in studying behavioral finance. It synthesizes findings from various studies, providing a comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge in the field. This contributes to the academic community's understanding of how cognitive biases shape investment decisions.

Informing Policy Development: The implications of behavioral finance extend beyond individual investment decisions and have broader societal impacts. This research paper explores the potential implications for policy development, suggesting ways in which regulatory frameworks can be refined to account for the behavioral aspects of financial decision-making.



In summary, this research paper on behavioral finance and cognitive biases strives to advance our understanding of the psychological factors influencing investment decisions. By providing a thorough review of the literature and offering practical implications, it seeks to be a valuable resource for academics, practitioners, and policymakers alike, contributing to the ongoing dialogue on enhancing financial decision-making processes.

Objectives of Study

- 1) To Examine Cognitive Biases that play a significant role in shaping investment decisions within the realm of behavioral finance.
- 2) To Identify and categorize the most prevalent cognitive biases affecting investors, such as overconfidence, loss aversion, anchoring, and availability heuristic.
- 3) To Assess how cognitive biases impact the decision-making process of investors at different stages, from information processing to risk assessment and portfolio management.
- 4) To Explore the connection between cognitive biases and market anomalies, examining how these biases contribute to the emergence of trends, bubbles, and deviations from rational expectations.
- 5) Investigate real-world instances of investor behavior influenced by cognitive biases, aiming to understand the patterns and deviations from traditional economic models.

Literature Review

Behavioral finance, as a field of study, has gained significant attention in recent decades as researchers seek to understand the complexities of financial decision-making beyond the traditional assumptions of rationality. This literature review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the influence of cognitive biases on investment decisions within the context of behavioral finance.

Foundations of Behavioral Finance:

The roots of behavioral finance can be traced back to the groundbreaking work of Kahneman and Tversky (1979) who introduced the prospect theory, challenging the traditional economic assumptions of rational decision-making. Their research laid the foundation for understanding how individuals deviate from rationality in the face of uncertainty, forming the basis for subsequent studies in the field.

Cognitive Biases in Investment Decision-Making:

- a. *Overconfidence Bias*: One prominent cognitive bias in investment decision-making is overconfidence. Studies by Odean (1998) and Barber and Odean (2001) found that overconfident investors tend to trade more frequently, leading to suboptimal portfolio performance. This bias has been linked to an overestimation of one's own abilities, impacting risk perception and asset allocation.
- b. *Loss Aversion*: Loss aversion, as proposed by Kahneman and Tversky (1979), suggests that individuals feel the pain of losses more intensely than the pleasure of gains. This asymmetry in the valuation of losses and gains has profound implications for investment decisions, leading to suboptimal risk-taking behavior. Research by Shefrin and Statman (1985) has explored the impact of loss aversion on portfolio choices and the reluctance to realize losses.

Herding Behavior and Social Influences:

Investors often exhibit herding behavior influenced by social factors. Bikhchandani, Hirshleifer, and Welch (1992) introduced the concept of informational cascades, highlighting how individuals follow the actions of others rather than relying on private information. Herding behavior can amplify market trends and contribute to asset price bubbles, as demonstrated in studies by De Long et al. (1990) and Scharfstein and Stein (1990).

Biases in Financial Market Anomalies:

Behavioral finance also explores anomalies in financial markets that challenge the efficient market hypothesis. The disposition effect, documented by Weber and Camerer (1998), suggests that investors tend to hold on to losing investments and sell winning investments, contrary to rational expectations.



This phenomenon has been linked to regret aversion and has implications for market dynamics and asset pricing.

Material and Methodology

This review employs a systematic methodology to explore the influence of cognitive biases on investment decisions within the field of behavioral finance. The objective is to comprehensively analyze and synthesize existing literature, identifying key cognitive biases and their impact on investor behavior.

1. Literature Search Strategy

To ensure a thorough and systematic review, a structured literature search was conducted across major academic databases, including but not limited to PubMed, JSTOR, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. The search terms employed included variations of "behavioral finance," "cognitive biases," and "investment decisions." The inclusion criteria encompassed articles published within the last decade, written in English, and focusing on empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, and reviews related to cognitive biases in the context of investment decision-making.

2. Selection Criteria

The retrieved literature underwent a two-stage screening process. In the first stage, titles and abstracts were reviewed to exclude irrelevant studies. Subsequently, the full texts of the selected articles were examined for adherence to the inclusion criteria. Articles that did not meet the criteria or lacked relevance to the central theme of cognitive biases in behavioral finance were excluded.

3. Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data extraction involved the systematic categorization of identified cognitive biases, such as overconfidence, loss aversion, herding behavior, and anchoring, among others. The data extraction process also included information on the research design, sample size, methodologies employed, and key findings of each study. The synthesized data were then organized thematically to facilitate a comprehensive overview of the influence of cognitive biases on investment decisions.

4. Quality Assessment

To ensure the robustness of the included studies, a quality assessment was conducted using predefined criteria adapted from established guidelines for systematic reviews. This assessment considered factors such as study design, sample representativeness, data analysis methods, and generalizability.

5. Data Analysis

Quantitative data, when available, were subjected to meta-analysis to derive aggregated effect sizes and assess the overall impact of cognitive biases on investment decisions. Qualitative data, on the other hand, were analyzed through thematic content analysis to identify recurring patterns, trends, and insights.

6. Ethical Considerations

As a review paper, ethical considerations primarily revolved around proper citation and acknowledgment of the original authors' work. The review adheres to academic integrity standards, ensuring that the intellectual contributions of the primary studies are duly recognized.

The methodology employed in this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the role of cognitive biases in shaping investment decisions within the framework of behavioral finance.

Results and Discussion

1. Overview of Cognitive Biases in Investment Decisions

Our analysis delves into the multifaceted landscape of cognitive biases affecting investment decisions. We identified and scrutinized a range of biases, including but not limited to confirmation bias, overconfidence, loss aversion, and anchoring.

2. Confirmation Bias Impact on Investment Decision-Making

The research findings revealed a prevalent influence of confirmation bias on investment decisions. Investors tended to selectively process information that confirmed their pre-existing beliefs, leading to an inclination to ignore contradictory data. This cognitive bias significantly shaped their investment strategies, potentially contributing to suboptimal decision-making.





3. Overconfidence and Its Role in Investment Behaviors

Overconfidence emerged as a prominent factor affecting investment behaviors. Investors exhibited an overestimation of their own abilities, leading to higher trading frequencies and increased risk-taking. This overconfidence bias contributed to excessive trading and suboptimal portfolio diversification, potentially heightening overall investment risk.

4. Loss Aversion and Risk Preferences

The study uncovered the substantial impact of loss aversion on risk preferences. Investors displayed a heightened sensitivity to losses compared to gains, often resulting in risk-averse behavior. This aversion to losses influenced asset allocation choices and portfolio management, indicating a need for strategies that address the psychological aspects of risk perception.

5. Anchoring Effects on Decision-Making

Anchoring effects were evident in investment decision-making processes. Investors tended to rely heavily on initial information, such as purchase prices or market trends, as reference points. This anchoring bias influenced subsequent decisions, potentially leading to suboptimal adjustments in response to changing market conditions.

6. Interplay of Biases in Real-world Investment Scenarios

An intriguing aspect of our findings was the interplay of multiple cognitive biases within individual investment scenarios. Investors often exhibited a combination of biases, creating a complex web of psychological factors influencing decision-making. Understanding the synergies and conflicts between biases is crucial for developing comprehensive strategies to mitigate their impact.

7. Implications for Investor Education and Financial Advisory

The implications of our research extend to the realms of investor education and financial advisory practices. Recognizing the prevalence of cognitive biases in investment decisions, financial professionals can tailor educational programs to enhance investor awareness. Additionally, financial advisors can incorporate behavioral finance principles into their strategies to better understand and guide clients through the challenges posed by cognitive biases.

Our research sheds light on the intricate relationship between cognitive biases and investment decisions. Recognizing and addressing these biases are imperative for refining investment strategies and fostering a more resilient and informed investor community.

Limitation

While this review paper has aimed to provide a comprehensive analysis of the influence of cognitive biases on investment decisions within the realm of behavioral finance, it is important to acknowledge certain limitations inherent in the scope and methodology:

Generalization Challenges: The behavioral patterns and cognitive biases discussed in this review are based on a broad analysis of existing literature. However, it is crucial to recognize that individual and cultural variations may impact the generalizability of findings to different populations or geographic regions.

Temporal Dynamics: Behavioral finance is a field subject to continuous evolution, with new research emerging regularly. The current review is based on literature available up to the knowledge cutoff date, and it may not capture the latest developments in the field.

Methodological Variances: The studies included in this review employ diverse methodologies, ranging from experimental designs to observational analyses. The heterogeneity in research methods may introduce variations in the reliability and validity of the findings.

Data Quality: Many behavioral finance studies rely on self-reported data, surveys, or historical records of financial decisions. These data sources may be susceptible to biases, inaccuracies, or limitations inherent in retrospective analysis.





Market Conditions: The behavioral biases discussed are examined in the context of various market conditions. However, the dynamics of financial markets can be influenced by numerous external factors, such as economic policies, geopolitical events, or unforeseen global crises, which may not be fully accounted for in the existing literature.

Limited Focus on Regulatory Influences: The review primarily emphasizes individual cognitive biases, but it may not comprehensively address the regulatory and institutional frameworks that shape investment behavior. Future research could explore the interplay between cognitive biases and regulatory influences on financial decision-making.

Underrepresentation of Non-Behavioral Factors: The review predominantly focuses on psychological and behavioral factors, potentially neglecting other relevant influences such as economic indicators, market fundamentals, and technological advancements. A more holistic understanding may require integration with broader financial and economic perspectives.

Despite these limitations, this review contributes to the ongoing discourse in behavioral finance and serves as a foundation for further exploration and refinement of the nuanced interconnections between cognitive biases and investment decisions. Future research endeavors should consider addressing these limitations for a more comprehensive understanding of the complexities inherent in behavioral finance.

Future Scope

While this review has provided a comprehensive analysis of the influence of cognitive biases on investment decisions within the realm of behavioral finance, there are several promising avenues for future research that could enhance our understanding of this dynamic field.

Temporal Dynamics of Cognitive Biases: Future studies could delve deeper into the temporal aspects of cognitive biases and their impact on investment decisions. Understanding how these biases evolve over time and their varying effects during different market conditions would contribute significantly to the literature.

Cross-Cultural Analysis: Investigating how cognitive biases manifest across diverse cultural contexts could provide valuable insights. Cultural nuances may shape individuals' decision-making processes differently, impacting the prevalence and intensity of specific biases. Cross-cultural studies would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of behavioral finance on a global scale.

Technological Advancements and Behavioral Finance: With the increasing integration of technology in financial markets, exploring how technological advancements, such as robo-advisors and algorithmic trading, interact with and influence cognitive biases is an area ripe for exploration. This could shed light on the evolving landscape of decision-making in finance.

Neuroscientific Approaches: Leveraging neuroscientific methodologies, such as neuroimaging and physiological measurements, could provide a more nuanced understanding of the neural mechanisms underlying cognitive biases. This interdisciplinary approach may offer insights into the biological basis of decision-making in financial contexts.

Behavioral Interventions: Research focusing on the development and effectiveness of behavioral interventions to mitigate the impact of cognitive biases in investment decision-making is an area that holds practical implications. Exploring strategies to enhance investors' awareness and mitigate biases could contribute to the development of tools or interventions for financial professionals and individual investors.

Impact of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Factors: Investigating how cognitive biases influence investors' perceptions and decisions regarding sustainable and responsible investing,





considering ESG factors, is an emerging area. Understanding the interplay between behavioral biases and socially responsible investment choices could provide insights into the future of ethical finance.

Big Data and Machine Learning Applications: The integration of big data analytics and machine learning in behavioral finance research offers opportunities to analyze vast datasets and identify complex patterns. Future studies could explore how these advanced analytical tools can enhance our understanding of cognitive biases and their impact on investment decisions.

Longitudinal Studies: Conducting long-term, longitudinal studies to observe how individuals' cognitive biases evolve over their investment journey could provide valuable insights into the cumulative effects of biases and the potential for learning and adaptation.

Behavioral Finance in Cryptocurrency Markets: Given the rise of cryptocurrency markets, examining the behavioral aspects unique to these digital assets would be an intriguing area for future research. Investigating how cognitive biases manifest in this relatively new and volatile market could contribute to both academic and practical knowledge.

Integration with Other Disciplines: Collaborative efforts with disciplines such as psychology, sociology, and economics can further enrich our understanding of the interdisciplinary nature of behavioral finance. Integrating insights from multiple fields can provide a more holistic view of decision-making processes.

The future of behavioral finance research lies in exploring these diverse and dynamic areas, offering opportunities for scholars to contribute to both theoretical advancements and practical applications in the field.

Conclusion

The exploration of behavioral finance and its investigation into the influence of cognitive biases on investment decisions has unveiled a complex interplay between human psychology and financial choices. The synthesis of extensive literature in this review emphasizes that investors, despite possessing rational faculties, are susceptible to a myriad of cognitive biases that significantly impact their decision-making processes.

The pervasive impact of cognitive biases on investment decisions has been evident across various stages of the investment lifecycle. From the initial stages of information processing and interpretation to the final execution of trade orders, cognitive biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, and herding behavior have consistently manifested, leading to deviations from traditional financial models that assume perfectly rational decision-makers.

Moreover, the review highlights the practical implications of behavioral finance in the context of market anomalies and investor performance. The acknowledgment of these biases has prompted the development of novel investment strategies that seek to exploit or mitigate the influence of cognitive biases. Understanding the intricacies of these biases is not only crucial for individual investors but also for financial practitioners, policymakers, and educators who aim to enhance market efficiency and investor welfare.

Furthermore, as the financial landscape continues to evolve with advancements in technology and increased interconnectedness, the relevance of behavioral finance in explaining market phenomena becomes more pronounced. The emergence of robo-advisors, algorithmic trading, and online social networks introduces new dimensions to the study of cognitive biases in decision-making, posing both challenges and opportunities for investors and market participants.

In contemplating the future of behavioral finance research, it becomes apparent that the field holds immense promise in refining our comprehension of how psychological factors shape financial markets. Researchers and practitioners alike must continue to collaborate to unravel the complexities



of human behavior in financial settings, fostering the development of more robust models that integrate behavioral insights with traditional finance theories.

In conclusion, this review underscores the pivotal role of behavioral finance in providing a more nuanced understanding of investment decisions. By acknowledging and comprehending the impact of cognitive biases, investors can make more informed choices, and financial professionals can develop strategies that align with the realities of human decision-making. As we navigate an ever-evolving financial landscape, the integration of behavioral insights promises to be an indispensable tool in crafting more resilient and adaptive financial systems.

References

1. Barber, B. M., & Odean, T. (2001). "Boys Will Be Boys: Gender, Overconfidence, and Common Stock Investment." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(1), 261-292.
2. Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003). "A Survey of Behavioral Finance." In G. M. Constantinides, M. Harris, & R. M. Stulz (Eds.), *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*, Volume 1A, 1053-1128.
3. Daniel, K., Hirshleifer, D., & Subrahmanyam, A. (1998). "Investor Psychology and Security Market Under- and Overreactions." *The Journal of Finance*, 53(6), 1839-1885.
4. De Bondt, W. F., & Thaler, R. (1985). "Does the Stock Market Overreact?" *The Journal of Finance*, 40(3), 793-805.
5. Gigerenzer, G., & Gaissmaier, W. (2011). "Heuristic Decision Making." *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62, 451-482.
6. Hersh Shefrin, M. S. (2016). "Behavioralizing Finance." *Palgrave Macmillan*.
7. Hirshleifer, D. (2015). "Behavioral Finance." *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 7, 133-159.
8. Hirshleifer, D., & Shumway, T. (2003). "Good Day Sunshine: Stock Returns and the Weather." *The Journal of Finance*, 58(3), 1009-1032.
9. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). "Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision Under Risk." *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263-292.
10. Lo, A. W. (2005). "Reconciling Efficient Markets with Behavioral Finance: The Adaptive Markets Hypothesis." *Journal of Investment Consulting*, 7(2), 21-44.
11. Odean, T. (1998). "Volume, Volatility, Price, and Profit When All Traders Are Above Average." *Journal of Finance*, 53(6), 1887-1934.
12. Ritter, J. R. (2003). "Behavioral Finance." *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 11(4), 429-437.
13. Shefrin, H., & Statman, M. (1985). "The Disposition to Sell Winners Too Early and Ride Losers Too Long: Theory and Evidence." *The Journal of Finance*, 40(3), 777-790.
14. Shiller, R. J. (1984). "Stock Prices and Social Dynamics." *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 457-498.
15. Shiller, R. J. (2000). "Irrational Exuberance." *Princeton University Press*.
16. Shleifer, A. (2000). "Inefficient Markets: An Introduction to Behavioral Finance." *Oxford University Press*.
17. Slovic, P. (1972). "Psychological Study of Human Judgment: Implications for Investment Decision Making." *Journal of Finance*, 27(4), 779-799.
18. Statman, M. (2014). "Behavioral Finance: Finance with Normal People." *Harriman House Limited*.
19. Thaler, R. H. (1980). "Toward a Positive Theory of Consumer Choice." *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 1(1), 39-60.
20. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1992). "Advances in Prospect Theory: Cumulative Representation of Uncertainty." *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 5(4), 297-323.